DALLAS HORSESHOE PROJECT RFQ Q & A MATRIX #3

(January 4, 2012)

<u>No.</u>	<u>RFQ</u> <u>Section/</u> Page No.	Question/Comment	<u>Response</u>
1.	RFQ, Part A, Section 4.2(a), page 5	ExistingText : Number of copies: Each responding Proposer shall submit one original and 15 written copes (for a total of 16) of its QS in three (each QS consists of three volumes) loose-leaf three ring binders, contained in sealed packages. Comment : Please revise the requirement to reduce the number of copies of Volume 2 required. Volume 2 only contains financial information but will be sizeable.	No change will be made.
2.	RFQ, Part A, Section 4.2(c), page 6	Existing Text: Volume 1 requirements: Volume 1 (as described in Part B) shall have all pages sequentially numbered and not exceed 25 pages, including any 11" x 17" pages (insofar as they are allowed as stated in Part A, Section 4.2(b)). Comment: Consider revising the maximum number of pages allowed for Volume 1. There are several sections of this Volume where the information required to be submitted is prescriptive and we have no control over the amount of space that it will require. For example, Section B(e) Legal Qualifications requires very specific information be submitted	Please see response to question #2 in Dallas Horseshoe Q & A Matrix #2 (12-22-11).

<u>No.</u>	<u>RFQ</u> <u>Section/</u> Page No.	Question/Comment	<u>Response</u>
		for all Equity and Major Non-Equity Members. Depending on the number of team members and their respective information this section could potentially be multiple pages. Additionally, the more team members that are identified at this stage of the pursuit the more information that is required to be provided in this and other prescriptive sections.	
3.	RFQ, Part B, Section 1 & Part B, Volume 1, Section B(c), pages 1 & 4	 Existing Text: Lead Engineering Firm - The member of the Proposer team, whether a single entity or joint venture, primarily responsible for the design and engineering of the Project. Form E-1: Technical Experience - Lead Engineering Firm: Provide details for a maximum of four projects in compliance with the requirements set forth in Form E-1 and best meeting the evaluation criteria set forth in Part A, Section 5. Comment: Allow other significant engineering firm team members identified in the QS to submit project descriptions as part of Form E-1. There are other members of our team who will contribute significantly to the success of this project and therefore their experience and expertise should be presented for consideration/evaluation. 	No change will be made.
4.	RFQ, Part B, Section 1,	Existing Text : Major Non-Equity Member - Any or all of the Proposer team' Lead Engineering Firm, Lead Contractor, and Capital Maintenance Firm, except where these entities	No change will be made.

<u>No.</u>	<u>RFQ</u> Section/ Page No.	Question/Comment	<u>Response</u>
	page 1	qualify as an Equity Member. <u>Comment</u> : Revise the definition to include Independent Quality Firm. The Independent Quality Firm will play a key role in reviewing and verifying all aspects of design-builder quality on the project. Therefore, the IQF firm will be intimately involved in all aspects of the project including working closely with TxDOT's staff. Therefore, due to their unique and specialized role the IQF should be considered a Major Non-Equity Member of the proposer's team.	
5.	Website Reference Documents, 0442-02- 142 ROW Link	<u>Comment</u> : The link to ROW map 0442-02-142 connects to ROW map 0196-03-293. Please fix the link to connect to the correct map.	The link now connects to the correct ROW map.
6.	RFQ, Part B, Volume 3, Section C, page 11	Key Personnel are as listed as follows: Design Quality Assurance Manager - A Professional Engineer* responsible for all aspects of design quality and for implementation of procedures to ensure all design products are accurate and checked before release. Works for an independent quality assurance firm hired by the Design- Build Contractor. Comment : Please clarify if it is acceptable for the Design Quality Assurance Manager to be employed by the same firm who [employs] the	It is acceptable for the Design Quality Assurance Manager and the Construction Quality Assurance Manager to be employed by the same firm.

<u>No.</u>	<u>RFQ</u> <u>Section/</u> Page No.	Question/Comment	<u>Response</u>
		Construction Quality Assurance Manager. Since the IQF is by [its] very nature an independent firm we do not believe it would be an issue for the same IQF to provide both the Design Quality Assurance Manager and the Construction Quality Assurance Manager.	
7.	General	<u>Comment</u> : Please send by e-mail the list of firms responding through LOI for the current RFQ Horseshoe project. Respond asap.	TxDOT did not request letters of interest for the Project. TxDOT will post on the Project Website a list of all Proposers that submit a QS one business day after the QS due date.
8.	RFQ, Part A, Section 3.4	<u>Comment</u> : Please provide the list of attendees at the Dallas Horseshoe Pre-QS Workshop (Part A, Section 3.4) to take place on Jan. 9, 2012. Respond after the Workshop.	The list of attendees that have signed up at the Pre-QS Workshop will be posted on the Project Website one business day after the date of the workshop.