TEXAS TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

<u>Dallas County</u> MINUTE ORDER Page 1 of 2

Dallas District

Transportation Code, Chapter 223, Subchapter F prescribes the process by which the Texas Department of Transportation (department) may enter into a design-build contract (DBC) with a private entity that provides for the design, construction, expansion, extension, related capital maintenance, rehabilitation, alteration, or repair of a highway project.

On May 24, 2018, by Minute Order 115220, the Texas Transportation Commission (commission) authorized the department to issue a request for qualifications (RFQ) for the design, development, construction, and potential maintenance of Interstate Highway 635 from US 75 to I-30 in Dallas County (I-635 LBJ East Project). The department has agreed to "grandfather" and permit the reconstruction of the existing one tolled managed lane in each direction as part of the project scope. The project will include the full reconstruction and widening of the facility from eight to ten general purpose lanes and the full reconstruction of the two existing tolled managed lanes, for a total of 12 general purpose and tolled managed lanes, construction of continuous frontage roads, and improvements to the I-635/I-30 interchange.

The department issued the RFQ on June 8, 2018, and subsequently determined that three of the four teams submitting qualification statements in response to the RFQ were qualified to be on the short list of teams that would be requested to submit detailed proposals to design, develop, construct, and potentially maintain the project.

Texas Transportation Code § 223.246 and Title 43 Texas Administrative Code (TAC) § 9.153(d) provide that, if authorized by the commission, the department will issue a request for proposals (RFP) from all private entities qualified for the short list. On July 26, 2018, by Minute Order 115259, the commission authorized and directed the department to issue an RFP requesting detailed proposals from the short-listed teams for the design, development, construction, and potential maintenance of the I-635 LBJ East Project, and authorized a payment for work product for each proposer that submitted a responsive, but unsuccessful, proposal of up to a maximum amount per proposer of 0.25% of the successful proposer's price for all work under the DBC. On November 9, 2018, the department issued the RFP.

On March 14, 2019, technical proposals were received from LBJ Constructors, Pegasus Link Constructors, and Trinity East Construction. On April 15, 2019, financial and price proposals were received from LBJ Constructors, Pegasus Link Constructors, and Trinity East Construction. From March 18, 2019 until May 17, 2019, the department evaluated technical, financial, and price proposals from the proposers.

The proposals were evaluated in the following categories: (1) pass/fail and responsiveness; (2) technical score; and (3) price score. The proposals were first evaluated on the basis of certain pass/fail criteria set forth in the RFP, and reviewed for responsiveness to the submittal requirements in the RFP. The technical proposals were then evaluated and scored in accordance with the requirements of the RFP, which included performance evaluations prepared by the department in accordance with 43 TAC §9.152 and §27.3, and other performance evaluations as deemed relevant by the department. The price proposals were then evaluated and scored, also in accordance with the requirements of the RFP. The technical score points assigned to the technical proposals were then added to the price score points to determine the total number of points received by each proposal. The responsive proposal with the highest score was determined to provide the apparent best value.

TEXAS TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

Dallas County

MINUTE ORDER

Page 2 of 2

Dallas District

The evaluation and scoring of each proposal under the technical score and price score categories resulted in the proposals being ranked as follows: Pegasus Link Constructors; Trinity East Construction; LBJ Constructors. The proposal submitted by Pegasus Link Constructors was accordingly determined to provide the apparent best value.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED by the commission that the determination that the proposal submitted by Pegasus Link Constructors provides the apparent best value to the department is approved, and the department is authorized and directed to commence and complete negotiations with Pegasus Link Constructors necessary to finalize the DBC and the associated capital maintenance agreement (CMA) to design, develop, construct, and potentially maintain the I-635 LBJ East Project in Dallas County, and to modify the DBC and CMA as necessary as a result of such negotiations.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the DBC and the associated CMA are awarded to Pegasus Link Constructors subject to, and effective upon the occurrence of, all of the following: (1) the successful conclusion of negotiations, including satisfaction of conditions to final award specifically identified by the department in its letter notice of conditional award to the proposer; (2) the issuance of a Notice of Intent to Award by the department; (3) applicable Federal Highway Administration approvals as identified by the department; and (4) the mutual execution and delivery of the DBC and CMA by the executive director of the department and the design-build contractor.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the execution of the DBC and the associated CMA by the executive director of the department is subject to the availability of funding if the contract price is in excess of the department's cost estimate.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the executive director determines that the negotiations with Pegasus Link Constructors cannot be successfully completed, and that therefore the proposal submitted by Pegasus Link Constructors will not provide the apparent best value, the department is authorized to commence and complete discussions and negotiations with Trinity East Construction, the next highest-ranked proposer, with award to the next highest-ranked proposer subject to the terms and conditions in the immediately preceding paragraphs of this order.

Submitted and reviewed by

Director, Project Finance

Debt & Strategic Contracts Division

Recommended by:

Executive Director

Minute Number

Passed