SH 99 Grand Parkway Toll Road Project Q & A Matrix #1

(November 29, 2011)

| <u>No.</u> | <u>RFQ</u> Section/ Page No. | Question/Comment | <u>Response</u> |
|------------|---|--|---|
| 1. | Part A, Sections 1 (p. A-1) and 2.2.3 (p. A-5) | The third paragraph, last sentence of Section 1 states "Through the RFP, TxDOT will seek Proposals to develop the Project through design-build and full toll concession approaches." The second paragraph, second sentence of Section 2.2.3 states "TxDOT anticipates that the SB 1420 Committee will make its determination in the near future, prior to the RFP." Can you clarify? | It is currently TxDOT's intent to solicit qualifications and ultimately proposals for both design-build and concession approaches. However, the SB 1420 Committee's determination will impact the delivery model(s) included in the RFP. As set forth in Section 2.2.3, three possible approaches are currently under consideration: a design-build procurement, a concession procurement or a procurement that solicits both design-build and concession proposals. TxDOT has expressly reserved the right to cancel the procurement with respect to any of the delivery models and to go forward at the RFP stage with either a design-build or concession procurement. It is currently anticipated that the SB 1420 Committee will meet to make its required determinations well in advance of the RFP. |

| <u>No.</u> | <u>RFQ</u> Section/ Page No. | Question/Comment | Response |
|------------|--|---|---|
| 2. | Part A, Sections 2.2.1 and 2.2.2 (p. A-4) | In the Request For Information for Grand Parkway Project issued June 10, 2011, TxDOT outlined the following three potential P3 procurement models: (1) a full toll concession; (2) an availability payment structure (as defined in the RFI), and (3) design-build or design-bid-build agreements with traditional tax exempt toll revenue bonds. Clause 2.2.1 and 2.2.2 on page A-4 of the RFQ indentifies only a Design-Build Opportunity and a Toll Concession Opportunity as possible procurement methods, please confirm that TxDOT has decided not to consider the availability payment structure outlined in the RFI. | Please be advised TxDOT is not presently considering an availability payment public-private partnership as described in the RFI for the Grand Parkway project. |
| 3. | Part C, Forms A – F | We respectfully request the Department to provide the Grand Parkway RFQ Forms in Word format. The specific Forms we would appreciate TXDOT to circulate are: Form A Form B Form C Form D Form E-1 (footnote 1 is missing off the pdf) Form E-2 Form E-3 Form F | The forms will be provided in Word format after the Q&A period is over (final questions are due December 15, 2011.) |

| <u>No.</u> | <u>RFQ</u> Section/ Page No. | Question/Comment | <u>Response</u> |
|------------|---|---|--|
| 4. | Part A – Section 4 - QS Content and Submittal Requirements 4.2 Format (c) Volume 1 Requirements | As per the RFQ requirements, the documents and information comprised in Volume 1 is limited to 35 pages for toll concession submittals. We are convinced that the information in Volume 1, particularly the Project Financing Experience and corresponding Case Studies, are essential for TxDOT to determine the qualification of the Proposers and therefore the RFQ should allow for more pages. Currently including all the Forms, and using the maximum allowed page limits for each Section, the Proposer would exceed the 35 page limit by 10 pages (not including the legal disclosures also required in this section). Would TxDOT consider increasing the page limit to 60 pages or removing Section A – General (Form A, the Executive Summary, and the Confidential Contents index) and Section B (e) (Legal Qualifications) out of the page count? | The page limit requirement for QSs for a concession model will be increased to 50 pages in an addendum to the RFQ. |
| 5. | Form F | The version of Form F included in the RFQ is currently unreadable as the headings are blacked out. Can TxDOT please post a revised Form F and provide it in Word format (or any other workable format) as well? | Please see response to question #3. |