

Q&A Matrix

SH 99 Grand Parkway Segment B-1 DB Project

RFQ Q&A Matrix No. 1

February 5, 2025



TxDOT Question Number	Document	Reference	Sub Reference	Question	TxDOT Response
1	RFQ	Exhibit A, Section 1	Table 1	Please confirm the maximum number of pages for the Executive Summary is 7. Past TxDOT RFQ documents have allowed 2 pages. 2 pages is a more appropriate length, particularly as Section F Technical Approach permits a maximum of 6 pages.	The requested change will be made in Addendum No. 1 to the RFQ. The maximum number of pages for the Executive Summary will be revised to two pages.
2	RFQ	Exhibit B, Volume 1	Table 2	The project managers over certain projects that we would like to reference in Forms H & I are on the lists of excluded references. Please advise on alternative references that can be used to complete Forms H & I for those projects.	In accordance with Exhibit B, Sections E and H, Proposer must use good faith efforts to find an alternative reference where it would normally use personnel on the excluded list for references. All other TxDOT personnel not on the excluded references list may be contacted. Proposer is in the best position to determine who is familiar with the performance of Proposer and its proposed Key Personnel. While the project manager is required to be listed on the form in addition to the person providing the reference, if the project manager is no longer with the owner, contact information for another person in a leadership position with knowledge of the Proposer's work on the project may be provided. Exhibit B, Section E of the RFQ provides: "If there are no current employees of the owner who were either the owner's project manager or who otherwise played a leadership role for the owner during the dates work was performed on the project, Proposer shall provide current contact information for the retired owner's project manager." Note the person providing the reference on Form H or Form I is not required to be the project manager.
3	RFQ	Forms	Form E, Section D	For Design Build projects, where design and construction cost are submitted as a single lump sum price, is TxDOT's expectation for "Contracted Project Amount", "Contracted Project Schedule", "Actual Amount Received or Anticipated to Receive Upon Project Delivery", and Actual Project Schedule" to be the overall lump sum amount?	As applied to a design-build project, the "contracted project amount" refers to the total amount of the original design-build contract, and the "actual amount received" refers to the total amount paid to the design-builder for the work under the contract or if the contract is not yet complete the total amount that is anticipated to be paid under the contract. For the project schedule, the form asks for the total duration of the work included in the design-build contract and what the final duration of the project was.
4	RFQ	Forms	Form G-2	We are considering using a project under construction as one of the Form G-2 Key Personnel resume projects. Will TxDOT evaluate the performance of the individual to date or will TxDOT discard/reject experience from projects that are not complete?	Proposer is permitted to submit project experience for projects that are not yet complete and the submission will be evaluated in accordance with the criteria in the RFQ.
5	RFQ	DBC Term Sheet		We do not see mention of price adjustment due to changes in CCI included in the Term Sheet. This is an important set of provisions for a job of this scope and complexity, and appropriate for inclusion. We recommend its inclusion with the Draft RFP.	This comment is noted.