

**NORTH TARRANT EXPRESS PROJECT RFQ
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS MATRIX #2**

The following Matrix includes TxDOT's response to questions and comments regarding the North Tarrant Express RFQ that were received following posting of Addendum #1 and Q&A Matrix #1 on February 12, 2007.

NO.	DOC SECTION PAGE NO.	QUESTION/COMMENT	RESPONSE
11.	<u>RFQ, Part B, Vol. 1, Sections 1.6 & 1.7.</u> <u>Q&A Matrix #1, Question 2</u>	<p>The TxDOT response appears to change the RFQ <u>Part B, Section 1.6 and 1.7</u> requirements in the following manner: The RFQ <u>Part B, Vol. 1, Section 1.6</u> requests that relevant experience by the proposer be provided as described in sections i through vii. The TxDOT response says: as described in <u>Part B Section 1.6</u>, the minimum requirement is for <u>Volume 1</u> is "a brief paragraph under the project description describing the relevance of the project and the entity's experience to the project and why that experience will provide value to TxDOT should the Proposer be selected. The project description in <u>Volume 1</u> should include sufficient information to highlight the reasons that the Proposer believes the project is relevant to this particular procurement. Further detailed information regarding the projects and all detailed reference information may be contained in <u>Volume 3</u>." Please confirm our interpretation of this change that the detailed information requested in the <u>RFQ Part B, Section 1.7</u> may now be included in <u>Volume 3, Section D</u>.</p>	<p>TxDOT does not concur that the response provided in Q&A Matrix #1 has changed the substantive submittal requirements of the RFQ. The previous response clarifies the minimum project description that must be contained in <u>Volume 1</u>. TxDOT confirms that further detailed information may be included in <u>Volume 3, Section D</u>.</p>
12.	<u>RFQ, Part B, Vol. 2, Section A</u> <u>Addendum #1</u>	<p>The TxDOT response appears to change the RFQ requirement in the following manner: "See also Addendum #1 which requires Proposers and Equity Members to submit quarterly, unaudited financial statements for the period since the most recently completed fiscal year for which the Proposer and Equity Members have audited statements." Our consortium includes an entity that is using the financial statements of its</p>	<p>Addendum #1 requires Proposers and Equity Members to submit unaudited financial statements for the period since the most recent completed fiscal year, to the extent such financial statements are available. TxDOT confirms that, where quarterly statements are not available, half-year results may be provided.</p>

NO.	DOC SECTION PAGE NO.	QUESTION/COMMENT	RESPONSE
	<u>Q&A Matrix #1,</u> <u>Question 4</u>	<p>overall group, which is listed on the London Stock Exchange. We are required to publish interim results at the financial half year only; and therefore, quarterly results are not available. Furthermore, rules of the UK Financial Services Authority that governs this area would consider the information share price sensitive and therefore prohibit us from releasing any separate or additional financial data. Could you please confirm that the inclusion of our published unaudited half year results will be acceptable to TxDOT.</p>	
13.	<u>RFQ, Part B, Vol. 2, Section A</u> <u>Addendum #1</u> <u>RFQ, Part A, Section 3.4</u>	<p>In our review of the Addendum #1 to the RFQ, we have come across the revision of <u>Part B, Volume 2, Section A</u>, stating the need to present quarterly unaudited financial statements for the period since the most recent completed fiscal year. This poses a complication for our company, due to the fact that we are a foreign entity whose financial reports are prepared in Euros and not according to U.S. GAAP. Also, at this time of year we are in the process of closing out our Fiscal Year 2006, and our financial reporting/auditing departments are at maximum capacity, as well as our CPA firm. Due to the simple matter of time constraints, we are not able to guarantee that our financial reporting/auditing department and our CPA will have the additional requested information, regarding the unaudited quarterly reports, in on time for the March 1st deadline.</p> <p>I take this opportunity to respectfully request a time extension of no more than thirty (30) calendar days on the QS submittal deadline, so that we may prepare all the information requested properly in order to submit a quality and competitive QS.</p>	<p>See response to Question 12, clarifying that TxDOT's intent is to require submission of unaudited financial statements for the period since the most recent completed fiscal year, to the extent such financial statements are available. Those unaudited financial statements should be provided in U.S. Dollars and English. If the unaudited financial statements are prepared using a method other than U.S. GAAP, the Proposer or Equity Member must submit a letter from a certified public accountant discussing the areas of the financial statements that would be affected by a conversion to U.S. GAAP. There is no requirement, however, for a different letter regarding each financial statement. Assuming that the unaudited financial statements are prepared using the same method as the audited statements for the past three completed fiscal years, a single explanatory letter may be submitted.</p> <p>See also Addendum #2, which provides a two week extension for the submission deadline for Qualification Submittals (QSs). The QSs are now due on March 15, 2007.</p>